

KLOOF AND HIGHWAY SPCA
INSPECTOR REPORT

EI7087

Complaint Reference No.

Building: KNOWLES SHOPPING CNT.
Street: 22 CHANCERY LANE
Suburb: PINETOWN CBD
Postal Code: 3600
Inspector: LINDOKUHLE MBELE
Date of Inspection: 2025-05-27
Time of Inspection: 12:40

RESPONDENT'S DETAILS

Name: Mario Oliver
Company:
Identification:
Email:
Tel 1:
Tel 2: 0839784377

REPORT

Access Granted By: Mrs Oliver
Comment:
Were Animals Seen on the Property: Yes
Relationship to Property Owner: Other
Captured By: LINDOKUHLE MBELE 2025-05-27 15:14

CHECKLISTS

WATER AVAILABLE	No ***	
ADEQUATE SHELTER AVAILABLE	Yes	
ADEQUATE SPACE AVAILABLE	Yes	
CLEAN LIVING ENVIRONMENT	Yes	
ANIMALS IN GOOD CONDITION	Yes	
VETERINARY PROBLEMS / HEALTH CO	No	
CONFINEMENT OF ANIMALS	Caged - adequate space	Owner was buying dog food and water at the mall.

General Report Comments: I asked around when i got to the mall and luckily one security guards pointed where the vehicle is located.

The vehicle was parked under the tree for extra shade and i walked to the passenger side. I met Mrs Oliver who was seating at the passenger side and i introduced myself. Mrs Oliver had much to say but i did explain to her that if a complaint is received we should investigate based on the allegations to verify whether those allegations are true or not.

I then went to the back of the vehicle and observed 5 greyhounds that appeared to be in a good condition. The windows are opened a bit at the canopy so there will be a flow of ventilation. No dog appeared to be thirsty based on their body language.

I then went back to Mrs Oliver and I question the name of the owner but her response was it her husband. I then requested to know the name and cellphone number but she wasn't complaint. I then told her i will wait for her husband to come back.

About 6 minutes Mario came back and i shared why i came to his vehicle. Mario didn't have any problem and he stated he needed water. The reason he parked under the tree is to make sure the dogs has extra shade and Mario is not interested in a sterilization.

I strongly believe the case can be closed. There is no contravention of the animals protection act 71 of 1962 and no further actions that can be taken. The dogs were not left unattended.

NFA.

ACTIONS

NO ACTION REQUIRED

REPORT OUTCOMES

CASE FINALISED - NFA

NO CONTRAVENTIONS OF THE APA -

NO WELFARE CONCERNS FOUND - N

INSPECTION ANIMALS

Description:

5 x DOGS (GREYHOUND) - (COLOUR: Various) CONDITION: Good