

WELLINGTON SPCA
INSPECTOR REPORT

IIAQ11123

Complaint Reference No.

Building: WILDEPAARDEJACHT
Street: KLEIN DRAKENSTEIN ROAD
Suburb:
Postal Code: 7628
Inspector: INSPECTOR ONE
Date of Inspection: 2026-01-26
Time of Inspection: 14:00

RESPONDENT'S DETAILS

Name: NEIL MEYER
Company: -33.757186, 19.034949
Identification:
Email: amymarie.price1995@gmail.com
Tel 1:
Tel 2:

REPORT

Access Granted By: Neil Meyer
Comment:
Were Animals Seen on the Property: Yes
Relationship to Property Owner: Owner
Captured By: INSPECTOR ONE 2026-01-28 15:11

CHECKLISTS

WATER AVAILABLE	Yes	
ADEQUATE SHELTER AVAILABLE	No ***	
ADEQUATE SPACE AVAILABLE	No ***	Kept in small section of massive yard, power breed
CLEAN LIVING ENVIRONMENT	No ***	Excess faeces
ANIMALS IN GOOD CONDITION	No ***	Lost weight and dirty, stitches overgrown
VETERINARY PROBLEMS / HEALTH CO	No	
CONFINEMENT OF ANIMALS	Free roaming - in property	

General Report Comments: I, Elani arrived at the farm. It was a mission to find the specific house and I had to ask multiple workers where Neils house is. After coincidentally asking Brenda, she directed me to the location. I drove down and saw a massive fenced property on the farm with a massive tree in front and two medium mix breed dogs coming to the gate. I did not see Zara. I called Neil abd called out and he came out of the house. I explained why I am there and asked where Zara was. He allowed me access and explained she is in the back as the two mix breed dogs in front fight with Zara. He said the mix breeds are the instigators. I then checked the front yard which is lovely. There is a massive tree for shade, lush grass and a massive front porch with their dogs beds and water bowls. These dogs go in and out of the house as they please.

I then asked to see Zara. He took me around the house to a small enclosed area at the back of the house by the kitchen door meant for washing area. This area in total was about 6m x 3 m big and Zara was enclosed here.

Upon entering, I noticed the area is in full sun, only has little rocks and cement as a floor. I then asked whether there is shelter for Zara as she did not have access to the house and he said there is nothing. I was shocked and went around the corner to see a small water bowl and excess faeces lying there. Zara herself lost weight and was dirty. Therefore I asked what he is feeding her and he answered Bobtail. I asked him to show me the bag of food. That is when he opened the back door, went into the kitchen and said the food is finished. I asked what house food they feed, and he only said pap and rice.

I then asked whether he walks her on the massive farm as it has an exercise route mapped out between the vineyards. He said no, he only lets her roam in the front yard sometimes.

After this, I knew enough to confiscate Zara according to the Adoption Contract he signed and explained that he is in contravention of the APA 71 OF 1962 Sec (2)(1)(a)(b)(e)(q)(r). He did not resist and accepted that I would take her away. He helped me load Zara into my bakkie and then asked how he can proceed to get her back. I told him that honestly I would never approved the homecheck if I knew this would be how she is kept and they would probably not get her back.

Post home check rejected for all future applications.

When returning to the SPCA, we noticed Zaras sterilization stitches was never removed and had to dig it out when here.

ACTIONS

ANIMAL/S SEIZED	Zara
-----------------	------

REPORT OUTCOMES

CHECKLIST COMPLETED - SEE ATTACHMENT

ANIMAL(S) CONFISCATED	Zara 1791/25
-----------------------	--------------

INSPECTION ANIMALS

Description:

1 x DOGS (ROTTWEILER) - A STERILISED FEMALE CALLED 'Zhara' (COLOUR: Black and brown) CONDITION: Poor

Description:

1 x DOGS (X BREED (UNKNOWN)) - A STERILISED FEMALE (COLOUR: Brown and black) CONDITION: Good

Description:

1 x DOGS (X BREED (UNKNOWN)) - A STERILISED FEMALE (COLOUR: Brown and black) CONDITION: Good