

THE STATE versus/ DIE STAAT teen

Mr Mauritz Rossouw

ID number 5810045010086

(Hereinafter referred to as the accused/ Hierna die beskuldigde genoem)

CRUELTY TO ANIMALS

THAT the accused is/are guilty of the crime of contravening of Section 2(1)(a), 2(1)(b), 2(1)(f), 2(1)(q), and 2(1)(r) read with Sections 1, 2 and 4 of the of the Animals Protection Act 71 of 1962, also read with Section 90 and 250 of the Criminal Procedure Act No 51 of 1977 (where applicable).

IN THAT on or about **14 March 2024** and at or near **65 Hofmeyer Street, Van Ryneveld** in the District/Regional Division of **Strand**, the accused did unlawfully and intentionally: -

- (a) ill-treat, torture and terrify an animal, to wit the tan and black female crossbreed dog named 'Olaf' by strangling the dog to death with a rope.
- (b) confine, tether, and secure an animal, to wit the tan and black female crossbreed dog named 'Olaf' by restraining the dog with a rope in such a manner as to cause the dog unnecessary suffering.
- (f) use on or attach to an animal, to wit the tan and black female crossbreed dog named 'Olaf', any equipment or appliance which causes injury and which is loaded, used or attached in such a manner as will cause such animal to be injured and to suffer unnecessarily by using a rope on and attaching a rope onto the dog in such a manner which caused injury, unnecessary suffering, and death to the dog.
- (q) cause the commission of the aforesaid acts to an animal, to wit the tan and black female crossbreed dog named 'Olaf' by unreasonably committing the aforesaid acts of mishandling and cruelly restraining the dog as well as strangling the dog to death using the rope.
- (r) wantonly and unreasonably cause the commission of any act which causes any unnecessary suffering to an animal, to wit the tan and black female crossbreed dog named 'Olaf' by unreasonably committing the aforesaid acts of mishandling and cruelly restraining the dog as well as strangling the dog to death using the rope, despite having the opportunity to let people which the dog was comfortable with, assist in humanely loading the dog into the vehicle as well as not practicing reasonable duty of care by using other available options.