

INSPECTOR NOTE

Building:
Street: 21 MOUNT RHODES DRIVE
Suburb: MOUNT RHODES
Postal Code: 7806
Date: 2026-07-31
Time: 10:15
Inspector: TAMARYN PRINS

Type: EMAIL - RECEIVED

Follow-Up Date: 2026-08-03

Comments: From: Jani Strauss <janistrauss1998@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, 31 July 2026 09:55
To: Inspectorate – Cape of Good Hope SPCA <inspectorate@spca-ct.co.za>
Cc: Brent Morkel - Cape of Good Hope SPCA <acmanager@spca-ct.co.za>; Bryan Arendse - Cape of Good Hope SPCA <aca@spca-ct.co.za>; Rudolph Philander <insp12@spca-ct.co.za>
Subject: INSP230895 Reopened

Good day,

Just to follow up on a telephonic conversation I had with Tia this morning about reopening my case INSP230895. She has confirmed that the case can be reopened according to the inspector as the dog in question is underweight.

To summarise :

* The dog in question was seen yesterday and is looking emaciated (worse than before), it has been 2 months since the case was closed which I feel is sufficient time for the dog to have gained weight and stabilised.

* Knowing the owner personally I strongly suspect he only complied for a short while (feeding the dog duck - which will fatten up a dog fast so he will look better for the next inspection.) to get the SPCA off of his back, after which he stopped complying and now the dog looks worse.

* As for his veterinary clinic confirming he brings the dog for check-ups and that the dog is an ideal weight - I strongly disagree and feel the veterinary practise (in this case) is working for their client (as he brings business) and they are not wanting to be in the middle and end up losing a client. The point is: yes, the breed is slim but the dog in question is far below the ideal weight.

* I would like to reiterate (all differences aside) that the owner has had enough time to comply and correct the situation and I feel it is clear that he has not been able to do so. I respectfully ask that the dog be removed and that a neutral/non-biased opinion be obtained from a different vet practise regarding the dog's condition. I am not questioning whether the owner loves his dog or not but he is being irresponsible which is not fair to the dog.

* Lastly - should the dog be removed and I am able to readopt him to live with me, the current owner of the dog will still be allowed access to the dog as he does love our dog, but I will solely be responsible for the dog's nutrition and condition. Once again - I am not wanting to punish the current owner, I only wish to do what is right and fair for the dog in question.

I trust the above to be in order, please let me know if more information is required from my side.

Thank you for your assistance.

Kind regards,
Jani

Captured By:

Tamryn Prins 2026-07-31 10:15